

# Effect of Inlet Conditions on the Performance of a Palladium Membrane Reactor

Stephen A. Birdsell, R. Scott Willms, Pamela Arzu, and Alison Costello

Los Alamos National Laboratory  
MS C348, Los Alamos, NM 87545

**Abstract** — Palladium membrane reactors (PMR) are used to remove tritium and other hydrogen isotopes from impurities such as tritiated methane and tritiated water. This paper investigates the effect of inlet conditions on the performance of a PMR. A set of experiments were run to determine, independently, the effect of inlet compositions and residence time on performance. Also, the experiments were designed to determine if the injected form of hydrogen ( $\text{CH}_4$  or  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) effects performance. Results show that the PMR operates at optimal hydrogen recovery with a broad range of inlet compositions and performance is shown to increase with increased residence time. PMR performance is shown to be independent of whether hydrogen is injected in the form of  $\text{CH}_4$  or  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

## I. INTRODUCTION

A process to recover tritium from simulated fusion fuels and tritiated water has been successfully demonstrated at the Tritium Systems Test Assembly at Los Alamos National Laboratory. The International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor exhaust will contain tritiated impurities such as water and methane. Tritium will need to be recovered from these impurities for environmental and economic reasons. The PMR is a combined permeator and catalytic reactor. Catalysts are used to foster reactions such as water-gas shift,



and methane steam reforming,



where Q represents the hydrogen isotopes H, D, and T. Due to thermodynamic limitations these reactions only proceed to partial completion. Thus, a Pd/Ag membrane, which is exclusively permeable to hydrogen isotopes, is incorporated into the reactor. By maintaining a vacuum on the permeate side of the membrane, product hydrogen isotopes are removed, enabling the reactions to proceed toward completion.

In addition to fusion-fuel processing, the PMR system can be used to recover tritium from tritiated water. A large quantity of tritiated water waste exists worldwide because the predominant method of cleaning up tritiated streams is to oxidize tritium to tritiated water. The tritiated water is then stored on molecular sieve with the ultimate intention of disposal by burial. The PMR provides a means to recover this tritium rather than dispose of it.

In the water-processing application, only HTO and CO are injected into the PMR and it might be expected that only reaction (1) would be of importance. However, near the inlet of the PMR, some  $\text{CQ}_4$  is formed by the reverse of reaction (2). Therefore, performance of the PMR system at water-

processing conditions is similar to that of fusion-fuel processing conditions.

Membrane reactors using various membrane materials, catalysts and geometries have been examined for diverse applications since the 1960s. Such applications have been cited previously [1]. None of these applications were in the field of tritium. Also, other processes for recovering tritium from tritiated water have been investigated. Hot metal beds, electrolysis, catalytic exchange, and water-gas shift followed by permeation are discussed in [2]. The PMR has significant advantages in simplicity, reliability, and cost.

Results of our single-stage palladium-membrane reactor have been reported in previous papers. Simulated fusion fuels were processed with a PMR [1], but these early experiments contained no tritium. References [3 and 4] reported on tritium experiments with a single-stage PMR system and [5] reported on tritium experiments with a two-stage PMR system. The experiments were conducted at ITER relevant conditions and were found to have a 1<sup>st</sup> stage decontamination factor ( $\text{DF} = \text{inlet hydrogen isotopes}/\text{retentate hydrogen isotopes}$ ) in the 150-400 range for the 1<sup>st</sup> stage alone and up to  $3 \times 10^6$  for the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage alone. Reference [6] reported on a two-stage system for tritiated-water processing. Performance was similar to that of the fusion-fuel processing experiments.

The present study was done to determine the effect of the inlet compositions of methane, water, hydrogen, oxygen, and inerts on the performance of PMR systems. Determination of the effect of inlet composition not only leads to optimal performance of PMR systems, but also leads to the development of control strategies.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

Figure 1 shows a schematic drawing of the PMR. This PMR has a Pd/Ag tube 61.0 cm long, 0.635 cm in outer diameter, and has a wall thickness of 0.0178 cm. The stainless steel shell is 66.0 cm long, 2.54 cm in outer diameter, and has a wall thickness of 0.165 cm. The annular space around the Pd/Ag tube is filled with 297 g of Pt/ $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$  catalyst (Engelhard A-16825). The PMR is oriented vertically in a tube furnace with the inlet at the top.

Feed gases are injected using Brooks 5850EM mass flow controllers. Outlet flow rates (retentate and permeate) are measured with Brooks 5850EM mass flow meters. Water is injected into the PMR by flowing a mixture of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  over a Pt catalyst. CO, Ar, and  $\text{CH}_4$  are mixed with the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  before injection into the PMR. The  $\sim 1$  mb vacuum on the permeate side is generated by a Normetex PV-12 scroll pump backed by a Metal Bellows 601 pump. The inlet pressure is 590 torr and pressure drop between the inlet and retentate is




Fig. 1. Schematic of PMR.

negligible. The reactor temperature was held at 525°C for the data shown in this paper. An MTI model M200 gas chromatograph is used to measure the outlet stream (retentate) of the PMR. The GC has a sensitivity of approximately 0.01% for CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> and can measure CO and CO<sub>2</sub> in the 1-100% range. An Endress and Hauser model 2850 humidity probe is used to measure the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration at the outlet. The probe was calibrated from -80°C to 20°C dew point and has an accuracy of ±1°C dew point.

### III. CARBON-TO-OXYGEN RATIO

Reactions (1) and (2) show that only 3 species are of interest in this work. Of these 3, only C and O remain at the outlet of the reactor since essentially all of the hydrogen isotopes are removed by permeation. Therefore, performance of the reactor, at a given inlet rate, can be determined by a single parameter: the carbon-to-oxygen ratio (C:O).

Fig. 2 shows decontamination factor versus C:O for a series of water-processing experiments. DF is large and independent of C:O at the lowest inlet rate. As the inlet rate increases, an optimum DF occurs in the range of 0.52 < C:O < 0.56 and good performance is obtained for a considerably larger range. If C:O < 0.52, not enough carbon is available to bond with the available oxygen and H<sub>2</sub>O will exit the reactor in increased concentrations causing decreased performance (Fig. 3).




Fig. 2. DF v. C:O for water-processing experiments. These experiments are discussed in detail in [6].

If C:O > 0.56, two effects combine to cause decreased performance. At these higher C:O ratios, too much C is available and H combines with it to form higher concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub> at the outlet of the reactor (Fig. 4). In addition, since only CO and H<sub>2</sub>O are injected, to increase the

C:O the CO injection fraction has to be increased significantly which decreases the residence time in the reactor. This decreased residence time also causes decreased performance.




Fig. 3. Outlet H<sub>2</sub>O concentration for the 100 sccm H<sub>2</sub>O case of Fig. 2.

A set of experiments were designed to determine the independent effects of C:O and residence time on DF. Also, the experiments were designed to determine if the injected form of hydrogen (CH<sub>4</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O) effects PMR performance. Total hydrogen injection (in the form of CH<sub>4</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O) was held constant at an equivalent rate of 75 std. cm<sup>3</sup>/min (sccm) H<sub>2</sub> so that hydrogen permeation effects were approximately equivalent for each experiment. C:O could be varied at a constant residence time by injecting a mixture of CO, O<sub>2</sub>, Ar, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub>. The percentage of injected H injected in the form of CH<sub>4</sub> ranged from 0-100%.




Fig. 4. Outlet CH<sub>4</sub> concentration for the 100 sccm H<sub>2</sub>O case of Fig. 2.

Fig. 5 shows the results of these experiments. The flow rates shown on the figure are the averages of the inlet and outlet rates. The compositions shown on the figure are the percentages of total hydrogen injected that was injected as CH<sub>4</sub> (the balance was injected as H<sub>2</sub>O). The chart shows that DF increases as residence time increases (i.e., inlet rate decreases). Comparison of the point at 0% CH<sub>4</sub> and 126 sccm to the curve of 40% CH<sub>4</sub> and 127.5 sccm, which have approximately equivalent inlet rates, shows that the PMR performs the same whether hydrogen is injected in the form of CH<sub>4</sub> or as H<sub>2</sub>O. Only one point is shown for the 0% CH<sub>4</sub> case because this is water processing and, thus, the C:O and residence time effects cannot be separated.




Fig. 5. DF v. C:O for experiments designed to separate the effects of C:O, residence time, and inlet form of hydrogen (i.e., CH<sub>4</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O). Equivalent H<sub>2</sub> injection is 75 sccm for all cases.

The optimum C:O is in the  $0.52 < C:O < 0.60$  range and good performance is obtained for a considerably larger range. This is a larger optimal range than the water processing case because the effect of decreased residence time with increased C:O occurs in water processing. From the perspective of PMR operation and control, this is a wide optimum since C:O ratio can be measured to an accuracy of  $\pm 0.002$  using common gas chromatography and can be mixed to within  $\pm 0.005$  using common mass flow controllers. Performance decreases as the C:O decreases below 0.52 or increases above 0.60 for the same reasons as in water processing. That is, H<sub>2</sub>O breakthrough occurs at low C:O (Fig. 3) and CH<sub>4</sub> breakthrough occurs at high C:O (Fig. 4).

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

A set of PMR experiments were run to determine, independently, the effects of carbon-to-oxygen ratio (C:O) and residence time on decontamination factor. Also, the experiments were designed to determine if the injected form of hydrogen (CH<sub>4</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O) effects performance. C:O could be varied at a constant residence time by injecting a mixture of CO, O<sub>2</sub>, Ar, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub>. The percentage of injected H injected in the form of CH<sub>4</sub> ranged from 0-100%. Conclusions from these experiments are as follows:

- The optimum C:O is in the  $0.52 < C:O < 0.60$  range and good performance is obtained for a considerably larger range. Performance decreases for the same reasons as in water processing. That is, H<sub>2</sub>O breakthrough occurs at low C:O and CH<sub>4</sub> breakthrough occurs at high C:O. This

is a wide optimum from the perspective of PMR operation and control.

- Performance increases as the residence time in the PMR increases.
- PMR performance is independent of whether hydrogen is injected in the form of CH<sub>4</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O.
- During water processing (i.e., no CH<sub>4</sub> injection), increasing the C:O causes an increase in residence time. This combined effect limits the optimum C:O to  $0.52 < C:O < 0.56$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. S. Willms, R. Wilhelm, and S. Konishi, "Performance of a palladium membrane reactor using an Ni catalyst for fusion fuel impurities processing," *Fusion Eng Des.*, vol. 28, pp.397-405, 1995.
- [2] R. S. Willms and S. Konishi, "Fuel cleanup systems for fusion fuel processing," *Fusion Eng Des.*, vol. 18, pp. 53-60, 1991.
- [3] R. S. Willms and S. A. Birdsell, "Palladium membrane reactor development at the tritium systems test assembly," *Fusion Tech.*, vol. 28, No. 3, Part 1, pp. 772-777, 1995.
- [4] S. A. Birdsell and R. S. Willms, "Modeling and data analysis of a palladium membrane reactor for tritiated impurities cleanup," *Fusion Tech.*, vol. 28, No. 3, Part 1, pp. 530-537, 1995.
- [5] S. A. Birdsell and R. S. Willms, "Ultra-high tritium decontamination of simulated fusion fuel exhaust using a 2-stage palladium membrane reactor," *Fusion Tech.*, in press.
- [6] S. A. Birdsell and R. S. Willms, "Tritium recovery from tritiated water with a two-stage palladium membrane reactor," *Fusion Eng. Des.*, in press.